UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,944	10/10/2006	Tomomichi Hashimoto	Q114482	3573
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/16/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	MOORE, MARGARET G		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,944	HASHIMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Margaret G. Moore	1795	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the h appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	ar to the date of filing a brief will not be entered because
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better forr appeal; and/or	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	* **
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided b The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 to 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16 to 22.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a sufficient reasons.	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does of reasons noted below.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S	SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other:	
	/Margaret G. Moore/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Continuation of 3. NOTE: IF ENTERED, the amendment would raise new issues requiring further consideration since the dependent claims would depend upon a new independent claim. As such the limitations of the dependent claims would be different and require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants are arguing unexpected results when the claims remain anticipated by the prior art. As noted in the final office action, claims 10 and 12 were rejected under 102 as being anticipated. There is nothing that requires (F) to be different from (E). Thus arguments regarding using different grafting agents are not commensurate in scope with the claims